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CVXT

- Verification Engine from the 90s
- Enables writing Tcl tests for hardware designs
Hardware Modeling

1. wait for a or b to change
2. compute a AND b
3. store the value in y
4. go back to step 1

This is called one hardware process

always @(a or b)
  y = a & b;
Hardware Simulation

- Event Driven Scheduling Kernels
- Millions of Virtually Parallel "Processes"
- Each Process suspended on signalling events or time
- Very good at modeling hardware.

Issues:
- Not designed for verification (many new efforts ongoing)
- Level of abstractness somewhere between C and assembly
- Testing extensions need more licenses ($$$$$$$)
- New language requires new thinking
Why Tcl

- One less language. Most hardware designers know Tcl.
  - Most EDA tools use Tcl as the scripting language
- Portable, Built to be embedded
  - Easy to support multiple simulators and platforms
    - vsim, ncsim, vcs, cver, icarus.
    - 32/64. win/lnx/amd64/sparc
- Event driven (more later)
- Dynamic
  - Saves costly HDL re-compile times if tests change
- Easier OS services access
  - display images as they're processed
  - send sniffed packets into the simulator
- tcltest
Simple Example

"Thread" runs one user context, typically runs tcltest on a part of a design. Say an ethernet interface.

```tcl
set r [get tb.ethernet0.error]
if {$r == 0} {
    put tb.ethernet0.txen -value 1
    set w [wait -signal tb.ethernet0.eof -time 1000]
    set tb.ethernet0.txen -value 0
    if {$w eq "time"} {
        error "Packet was not transmitted in 1000 ns"
    }
}
```
Challenges

Mainly due to supporting various simulators from different vendors on multiple platforms.

- Threads
  - pthread libc incompatibilities
  - ucontext with thread-enabled Tcl, windows.

- Multiple Tcl Versions in one Process Space
  - Still support 8.3.4 in the simulator
  - CVXT runs bleeding edge.
Multiple Tcl Versions in One Process

- Build Tcl enabling shared support.

```
$ ./configure --enable-threads --enable-shared
$ make
```

- Link forcing resolution

```
$ gcc -m64 -Wl,-Bsymbolic -o cvxt.so <cvxt objects> \ 
   <tcl core objects> -shared <platform specific -l flags>
```

- cvxt.so is loaded into the simulator (from command line)
- Initialization is a script-mod Tcl_AppInit + startup script
- Extensions to cvxt are built with -DUSE_TCL_STUBS
Enter Coroutines

The perfect match

- no more threads.  --disable-threads worked
- simplified build (no ucontext emulation on windows)
- faster threads (about 10% improvement over 10000 switches)
- enables multiple contexts per thread
  - called branches, which share globals/procs/..

Following slides explain CVXT Implementation using coroutines.
Coro'd CVXT: Thread Creation

```tcl
proc create_thread args {
    set u0 [interp create u0]
    foreach cmd [list get put] {
        $u0 alias $cmd $cmd
    }

    $u0 eval {
        proc wait args {
            return [yield [concat u0 $args]]
        }

        proc start {} {
            catch {
                user code here sourced from $args.
            }
        }
    }

    process [$u0 eval coroutine __run__ start]
}
```
Coro'd CVXT: Thread Switching

```tcl
proc process l {
    set child [lindex $l 0]
    foreach arg [lrange $l 1 end] {
        add call backs into simulator,  
        and remember in data structure
    }
}

proc callback args {
    # called by simulator
    figure out which threads need to be awakened
    foreach interp $wakeup_threads {
        process [\$thread ::__run__ $args] ;# resume the coroutine.
    }
}
```
CVXT: TclOO Example, Definition

class create mailbox {
    constructor {} {
        set name [namespace tail [self object]]
        if {{[catch {set mbdata $shvar(mailbox_$name)}]}} {
            set mbdata [list]
        }
        set shvar(mailbox_$name) $mbdata
    }
    method put args {
        lappend shvar(mailbox_$name) $args
    }
    method get {} {
        while {![length [set mbdata $shvar(mailbox_$name)]]} {
            wait -shvar mailbox_$name
        }
        set shvar(mailbox_$name) [lrange $mbdata 1 end]
        return [lrange $mbdata 0]
    }
}
CVXT: TclOO Example, Usage

Server

mailbox create reg_writer
while 1 {
    lassigned [reg_writer get] mbox op addr data
    do the register transaction in simulator or hardware
    mailbox create $mbox
    $mbox put $read_data
}

Client

mailbox create reg_writer
mailbox create reg_response
reg_writer put reg_response read 0x30943544 0x33
set reg_value [reg_response get]
Conclusions

- Coroutines are good
  - They make multicontext event driven programming simpler (and in my case faster)
- It's good to piggyback on a language like Tcl
  - Has well thought out features that CVXT
- Tcl makes a good verification language
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